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Objectives of this document

1. Provide **background** on Education Cannot Wait to date

2. Share BCG's **objectives** and **guiding principles** for ECW operating model and results framework design

3. Outline consultation **process** where we will seek your input

4. Highlight key questions where we need your **input**
Overview of Education Cannot Wait

Education Cannot Wait was launched at the World Humanitarian Summit earlier this year, to change the lives of children living in crises. It aims to tackle the $8.5B funding gap to help the ~75 million children affected by crises globally (natural disasters, conflict, disease outbreaks).

Since its launch, ECW has raised >$100M, and invited eight countries to apply for initial investments: Ethiopia, Chad, Yemen, Cameroon, Myanmar, Syria, Lebanon and Kenya. In addition, at the global level, the UNHCR, Education Cluster, and INEE were invited to apply.

The Overseas Development Institute (ODI) published a report in May 2016, proposing this education fund for children in emergencies and laying out a variety of critical design factors.

There would be 2 components of the fund.
• The Breakthrough Fund (95%)
  – First response window: funding for projects that show impact within 1 year time frame
  – Multi year window: funding for up to five years to bridge the humanitarian/development gap
  – Pop up window: allows for earmarking to specific crises and thematic areas
• The Acceleration Facility (5%)
  – Aims to develop global goods to advance good practice and strengthen central collection of data and evidence
### BCG's engagement in the design process

#### Operational framework and manuals
- Develop the grant management model for ECW's funding windows

#### Results framework and management package
- Develop a full results, monitoring and evaluation framework

#### Resource mobilization & advocacy

#### Managing initial investments and taking away key lessons

#### Long-term governance and hosting arrangement

#### Staffing the secretariat

---

**BCG project** (seeking your input today)

**Additional efforts in design process**

---

2 Objectives and guiding principles
Objectives and guiding principles

Our aspiration is for ECW to fulfill key principles...

Impact
ECW strives to provide quality education to most at-need children, aiming to serve greatest number

Speed & responsiveness
ECW should be able to quickly respond to acute crises

Transparency
ECW should make funding decisions in a clear and logical way, ensuring it is perceived as fair

Systems building
ECW should help build capacity and systems to ensure local ownership and crisis preparedness

Additionality
ECW should bring new funding sources, including innovative financing, to education in crisis settings

Complementarity
ECW should reinforce and enhance existing efforts, ensuring better performance where possible

Innovation
ECW should pursue innovative approaches in all aspects of its work

Cost-effectiveness
ECW must show value for money and seek efficiency in its own operations and in its grantees

Source: ODI proposal paper, stakeholder interviews
Objectives and guiding principles

... and map to 5 ECW core functions

Insure political commitment

Expand political commitment to draw attention, mobilize resources, support governments, advocate and increase engagement

Joint planning and response

Promote crisis specific assessments and sector wide plans to connect interventions, delivery and support

Generates and disburses new funding

Mobilize and disburse additional funding and new investments with an emphasis on sustainability

Strengthen capacity

Work with partners to invest in capacity strengthening for response and recovery, and fill the gaps

Improve accountability

Improve accountability and understanding of ‘what works’ through investment in data collection and analysis

Source: ODI proposal paper
### Three-step consultation process to gather your input

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review overview document</th>
<th>Provide feedback through input form</th>
<th>Give comments on group calls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| We have shared these overview materials with 4 sets of relevant partners  
  - Global civil society  
  - Local civil society  
  - Local governments  
  - Private sector  
  We request that you review these materials and consult with your colleagues on key questions | Opportunity to provide feedback that represents your or your organization's views through the online input form  
  - Please state whether your view states your opinion or that of your organization  
  This will inform the design of a draft operational model and results framework  
  - Input will be synthesized and shared with ECW Senior Officials and Task Team members | BCG will host optional web conference calls at end of November/early December  
  - Multiple time zone options available  
  Invitations to all constituents to be sent through respective networks  
  Calls provide opportunity for you to expand on areas of feedback and get further clarification |

**We seek to gather all inputs by December 2, allowing for synthesis to inform recommendations to ECW**
Input needed on 4 key questions – Civil Society

How rapid would disbursement of funds need to be to address the most important unmet education needs in the early stages of a crisis?
- 1 week, 3 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, >3 months? Why?
- For what specific education needs should these funds be used?

Many ECW stakeholders favor limiting funding to one proposal per country for the multiyear window, with the objective of ensuring alignment and coordination among existing structures. How can this be done in a way that ensures involvement of local governments, local civil society, UN agencies and international NGOs?
- What barriers could prevent your constituency from being involved, if any?

What types of support should ECW provide to grantees? Why is this type of support important?
- For example: technical assistance on proposals, coalition building on the ground

What do you consider the most valuable measures of education progress and impact in your context?
- What indicators do you already measure?
- What indicators would you like to measure if you could? Why are these not measured today?
Input needed on 4 key questions – Government

How rapid would disbursement of funds need to be to address the most important unmet education needs in the early stages of a crisis?

- 1 week, 3 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, >3 months? Why?
- For what specific education needs should these funds be used?

How can ECW ensure that there is coordination and joint action between humanitarian and development partners at the national level? What holds them back today?

What role should national governments have in

- Coordinating proposals/partners?
- Vetting local NGOs/civil society?
- Directly accessing or disbursing funds?

What kinds of support would most benefit national and sub-national governments? Why is this type of support important?

- For example: inspiring political commitment, assisting in joint planning and responses, generating new sources of funding, strengthening capacity, improving accountability